Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/715,013	CONKEL, DALE W.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher S. McCarthy	2113
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Christopher S. McCarthy.	(3)	
(2) Ashek Rahman, Reg.#43872.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 5 February 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview:    Telephonic     Video Conference     Personal (Copy given to:   Applicant   Appli	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 9. 17	•	
<i>9. 11</i>		
Prior art documents discussed:		
	,	· ·
Parț II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE C Expanding argued/amended limitation of claim 1 into lang		T WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief succession of the interview is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues.</li> </ul>	on. The examiner will provide a arate record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)